

## A summary of the questions and answers received during the consultation

No.	Question	Answer
1.	If I correctly see you (INPP) are planning 2 procedure of purchase now (Engineering and project management) instead of one? or so it was planned before?	Indeed, there are two procurements planned: 1. for engineering and licensing services; 2. for project & contract management support that also includes some technical consultations scope. It was an initial plan to have technical and managerial support to the INPP during the implementation of main contract for engineering services. Nevertheless, the plans for the second contract were not disclosed previously.
2.	Whether „Engineering Services (the R3D Framework)“ and „TSG“ tenders are planned to be announced simultaneously? When are those tenders likely to be announced?	Both procurements are expected to be launched in parallel. The exact date for announcement is not yet set, but it is expected to be done in the beginning of year 2020
3.	Could you confirm that only one tender will be announced for „Engineering Services (the R3D Framework)“ and first contract for „Optioneering and EIAR development“ will be signed with a single winner (“the Designer”), and that lately following contracts (“Licensing documents development“) will be signed with the same “the Designer”, “subject to a satisfactory outcome”, without any separate tenders?	Yes, we can confirm that. During procurement of engineering services INPP plans to sign one long-term framework agreement with one supplier, which will include preparation of all design and licensing documentation, which is necessary for the dismantling of reactor zone R3. First main contractor for optioneering, conceptual design preparation and EIAR development and further contracts for the rest of framework scope would be signed under this framework agreement and there will be no separate tenders performed.
4.	Also could You confirm that 20 mln. EUR budget is envisaged for „Engineering Services (the R3D Framework)“ consulting services pack (Optioneering and EIAR development + Licensing documents development) and given budget does not include costs related to procurement of equipment, tools etc.?	Yes, we can confirm that this budget does not include the cost of purchase of the equipment for dismantling and waste management. It is foreseen purely for design and licensing purposes. All the necessary equipment and materials will be bought by INPP separately in the future. Some of it might be based on the technical specifications developed by the Designer in the implementation of this Framework and Main Contracts
5.	In Explanatory Note it is stated: <i>“framework agreement (hereinafter, “the R3D Framework”) will be signed with a single legal entity (hereinafter, “the Designer”) according to the Public Procurement Law”</i> .  Could you clarify what is a single legal entity according to the Public Procurement Law? Is a consortium/ partnership considered a Single legal entity? Is there a specific requirement regarding the type of consortium/ partnership to be considered a single legal entity?	We draw your attention to the fact, that the wording <i>„a single legal entity“</i> in Explanatory Note was used only to point out that framework agreement will be signed with <i>one</i> economic operator, but, not with several as it is common practise for usual framework agreements.  We clarify that it is planned that tenders for the mentioned procurements will be allowed to be submitted by a group of suppliers, including a temporary group of suppliers. In case the group of suppliers wins, the contract will be signed with such group of suppliers.
6.	In the Explanatory note and ToR item 1.2.2.1 it is stated that: <i>“.....framework agreement (hereinafter, “the R3D Framework”) will be signed with a single legal entity (hereinafter, “the Designer”) according to the Public Procurement Law of the Republic of Lithuania”</i> .	A group of suppliers may operate on a joint venture, consortium or other basis where all members of the group of suppliers are jointly liable for the performance of the contract.

	Can You please provide a more exhaustive explanation regarding the term “single legal entity” and identify whether the “Consortium” or “JVP” will correspond to the single legal entity	
7.	In Explanatory Note it is stated “ <i>The price of the first main contract is fixed at 7M€ (excluding VAT)</i> ”  We understand that the price of the 1 <sup>st</sup> contract is 7 M€ for all the tenderers and therefore, there will be no competition on price. Could you confirm that there will be no criteria based on price for attribution of the framework agreement (the R3D Framework)? in other words could you confirm that selection criteria will be only technical and quality criteria?	Proposals will be evaluated based on quality criteria only, favouring companies with strong relevant experience, having highly competent team and a sound understanding of the issues involved.
8.	For the engineering services, INPP intends to award the contract only the technical aspects. Nevertheless it is suggested the services to be paid on the base of a fee-based contract, which better reflect the efforts.	
9.	As per the Terms of Reference, it is expected that the evaluation criteria will be based on quality criteria only. Does this mean that economic proposals will not be considered while they are below the maximum tender budget?	
10.	Will it be requested to develop a proposal just for Main Contract (Stage 1) during tendering phase or also for subsequent main contracts?	At this stage it will be requested to develop proposal for the Framework Contract and the 1st Main Contract within it.
11.	Framework Agreement Terms of Reference, Para.15. It states that “Unless otherwise agreed with the Client, the Team Leader and Task Manager shall not be the same expert”, whereas in “Main Contract no. 1 Terms of Reference”, paragraph 6, it states that “Task 1 shall be under supervision of the Team Leader.” Is it correct to assume therefore that for Contract No. 1, Task 1 the Team Leader and the Task Manager are the same person?	Your assumption is correct - we would like the Team Leader to be the Task Manager of the Task 1
12.	Main Contract No. 1 Terms of Reference, Para. 3.1 It is understood that the original technical information (drawings, specifications etc.) for the reactors will be provided in Russian but could you please clarify the language of other available input data, such as radiological characterization reports, previous reactor decommissioning optioneering studies etc.	Most of the input documents (especially historical ones, but inputs like radiological characterisation as well) will be in Russian language. Legal requirements will be in Lithuanian language, some recent high-level documents related to decommissioning engineering and safety analysis are available in English language (mostly to be used as examples in the context of R3D).
13.	Main Contract No. 1 Terms of Reference, Para. 3.3 As the preferred option will be effectively selected by the Client, will he be actively involved in the optioneering process in order to avoid disagreement in the final solution choice?	Yes, the Client plans to be involved in the optioneering process. Shall be noted that participation of stakeholders is expected as well
14.	In preparing the DOR, the Consultant must take into account design options proposed by the Client.	The Client is going to be involved in optioneering process. This issue will have to be described in the Contract Implementation Methodology and agreed by the Client.

	Sharing of the available options developed by the Client should be guaranteed (how many of them were identified)?	Those options that the Client requires to be considered are listed in the ToR for Stage 1 Engineering services (Section IV). The list of options to be considered may be expanded during optioneering.
15.	How INPP will evaluate (specific criteria) that all practically possible dismantling and WM options have been screened?	There will be no specific criteria. The Consultant will build list of options on a basis on those provided in ToR, including its own proposals and options that will be named by the Client / Stakeholders at the option list creation stage and justify its completeness. INPP and stakeholders involved in the process shall have a possibility to comment both on the list and on justification of completeness of this list.
16.	The "MODM – Multi-Objective Decision Making" is referenced to be performed - Could you clarify the methodology to be used for the multi objective decision making?	We expect the Consultant to propose the appropriate optioneering methodology (i.e. MADM, MODM or other). This shall be done at the beginning of the contract implementation and described in Contract Implementation Methodology document, which is the first deliverable to be produced.
17.	Task 10.2 : Could you confirm the methodology to be used to perform the selection of the options are MADM and MODM ones?	
18.	Several options (not less than 2, and not more than 4) for each and every sub-tasks should be developed and provided for review. Multiplication of variants could be quite significant, so it is suggested to select scenarios on the basis of engineering judgement rather than quantitative analysis.	
19.	In case the Client selects as optimal engineering solution a scenario that is not the one recommended by the Contractor, what would the responsibility of the Client?	In the frame of this framework the Consultant will be responsible for future development of any engineering solution included in Design Options Report, not only the one it has recommended. The Client shall finance implementation of selected engineering solution. By choosing a particular option, the Client will commit to further developing it (i.e., financing the Conceptual Project and development of other subsequent design documents)
20.	Main Contract No. 1 Terms of Reference, Para. 8 It states that IMD-1 will be submitted for approval “not later than within 20 days of the effective date of Main Contract No. 1”. The schedule in Annexe S1-1 shows 30 days. Which is correct?	The project target schedule provided in Annex S1-1 is more like an illustration. Information provided in main text of the ToR is right one.
21.	Annexe S1-1 – Schedule If any of the key milestones slip due to no fault of the tenderer (e.g. release of call for tender, contract award), will the schedule be allowed to slip or is the end-date for the main contract (currently shown as May 2023) fixed?	Calendar dates are indicative, they are not binding contractually. Schedule shall be built by the Consultant taking contract award date (T0) into consideration (see Annex S1-1 Introduction, par 3 for explanation regarding calendar dates). Contract schedule management provisions will be provided in the draft contracts to be published as part of the Tender Documents.
22.	Scheduling/time estimation is having some uncertainty, but contract timeframe is limited by May 2023. If the Main Contract is not officially signed by Q4 2020, how possible delays to be addressed by the Consultant/Designer?	

	It is proposed to define schedule based on the date when contract is awarded. Corresponding duration for each task should be taken into account.	
23.	If there are any, could INPP give the forecasted milestones into the consultant's activities (in addition to these given into the available schedule)?	There are no other milestones except those given in ToR
24.	Will it be possible to start working on Task 2 - Design Options Report before having the Implementation Methodology for Stage 1 approved?	It is up to the Consultant to decide when to start the work. However, if outcomes of the Task 1 approval would necessitate adjustments to the works already done under Task 2, it would be the Consultant's responsibility
25.	Task 3 point 26 mentions that sufficient level of details is needed for Conceptual Design Proposal of RWISF. How sufficient level will be assessed by INPP? We suggest this to be determined more accurately. Alternatively, could INPP already provide the levels of details expected for one part of the Conceptual Design Proposal of RWISF (for example : the transformation (re-classification) of bldg. 158/2 to store graphite (class D)?	For more details please refer to Annex S1-2 (Conceptual Design Outline)
26.	Could INPP give milestones for the public presentations of the EIAR performed by the consultant ? Please provide a link to the requirements. Where should the presentation be done?	Requirements related to the EIA presentation are defined in regulation "Description of the procedure for environmental impact assessment of the proposed economic activity", Order on Ministry of Environment Nr. D1-885, adopted on 2017 m. October 31 d.
27.	Development of General Data Sets on Radioactive Waste Disposal Plans should be specified more precisely based on the already existing GDS developed by INPP. INPP has to specify expectations on this matter (or provide examples).	Content of the GDS is defined in regulatory document specified in the Description of the Procedure for the Submission to the Commission of the European Communities of Data Relating to the Disposal of Radioactive Waste, approved in 2012 by the resolution No. 326 of Government of the Republic of Lithuania (see ToR for Framework agreement, item 10.12) Also after contract award an example of similar document may be provided if requested.
28.	Could you please explain if the scheme C is part of R2 or R3 scope of work? In figure 3 it seems to be part of R2 scope but in the rest of the document it seems to be part of the R3 scope of work	Scheme "C" is part of the Zone R3 and shall be considered by the Consultant
29.	In case scheme C is part of R2 scope, and since R2 is to be performed before R3, how is fixed the core structure? It seems to be hold by scheme C support and cross.	
30.	Could you please explain if all the objects described in the § 3 are in the zone R3 scope of work ?	In Annex F1, section 3, the components making up the R3 area are briefly described, and they are all within the scope of the Consultant's work.
31.	Is it possible to have a idea of the max weight of serpentinite and aluminium material?	Quantities of filling materials, including serpentinite, are given in Annex F2, section 3.3. Amount of aluminium is very small (insignificant)
32.	Is it possible to receive the CAD model used for the picture in the document. Is there a global CAD mockup of the objects to be dismantled in R3 project ? If yes, is it possible to receive such CAD mockup ?	Full and verified CAD model is not available at the Client disposition. If the consultant believes that 3D model is required to perform the tasks specified in the specification, he should build it using the inputs provided by

	Its required to elaborate a 3-D model.	the Client and performing any additional necessary data collection within the premises.
33.	Whether data are available on meteorological, geological and seismic, hydrological conditions of the NPP location site (taking into account data on control methods and means, as well as analysis results)?	<p>The following characteristics are relevant to the evaluation of a site for nuclear facility construction. Should a need for such data become evident during the contract, it is the Consultant's responsibility to collect all the necessary information. The customer will provide his own data, but note that they may be not enough, so that missing data will have to be obtained from the authorities collecting them (meteorological service, geological service, etc.).</p>
34.	Will be provided potential maximally predicted changes in the site of the facility due to man-made impacts? The applicability of the above data is limited to five years from the date of performing.	
35.	Is there any data available of permanent monitoring of the physical parameters for the influence of natural hazards in the area of the nuclear power plant: - Meteorological - Hydrological - Hydrogeological - Geotechnical - Seismological	
36.	Will the "unloading and loading machine" and other cargo lifting equipment in the Reactor hall remain in operation or will they be dismantled?	
37.	We would like to have confirmation about when the reactor channels are going to be removed	<p>"Fuel loading/unloading" machine will not be available (at Unit 1 it is already dismantled). Other lifting equipment in Reactor Hall will remain.</p> <p>Removal of the channels from Unit 1 and Unit 2 reactors shall start in year 2020 and 2023 respectively. It is expected that removal of the channels in each Unit will take 5 years.</p>
38.	Were there any work carried out at the INPP to calculate the energy of Wigner, accumulated in graphite masonry? If so, will reports or other material be provided to us?	The Client himself has not conducted specific research on the stored Wigner energy. However, a number of international studies have been conducted on this issue, which have led to the conclusion that this issue is of no practical significance to RBMK reactors.
39.	Will be available accurate data on the radiological characterization of the structural elements of the R1, R2, R3 zones for the units 1 and 2 after the dismantling of the R1, R2 structures?  We are interested, in particular, in data on following (rus. МЭД) measurements: - on the top of the scheme "E" at various points: on the periphery, in the center, on the visor of the compensator; - in the area of the scheme "D" in different places of the rooms on the levels 20.70, 20.40; - under the bottom plate of the scheme "E" at various points - on the periphery and closer to the center.	<p>Reports of the radiological characterisation performed by the Client will be provided after the contract award. One of the Consultant's first tasks will be to review them and assess whether the available data is enough and can be used for future work (see item 3.1 in ToR for Stage 1 engineering services). If data will appear insufficient, the Consultant should describe and justify the need for additional data and plan, together with the Client, how the data could be obtained.</p>

	<p>We are interested in data on measurements in the area of TCT (rus) - telescopic connections of tracts under the scheme "E", what radiation background on the upper surface of the bottom plate of the scheme "E" on assemblies 07 and 21-6, on the guide tube of the "KOO" (rus) and thermal screens of the reflector;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- in the "BKMI" (rus) - the upper intercompensatory space.</li> </ul> <p>Interested in measured data on radiation conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- scheme "KZh" on its inside at different heights;</li> <li>- filling in the lower sections of the scheme "E" - closer to the lower plate of the scheme "E";</li> <li>- graphite masonry at various points of its volume - all available information;</li> <li>- the lower parts of the reactor - above the "RR" scheme - on assemblies 08, 06, 28-1; inside the PR scheme, the lower plate of the PR scheme.</li> </ul> <p>Which unit is more induced by radioactivity and how much? How can this difference be explained?</p>	
40.	We would like to know in advance the detailed reactor disposition and existing radiological data. We need to have correct understanding of reactor input data	
41.	Is it possible to receive the drawings listed in references ?	<p>Detailed technical information, available for the Client, will be provided after contract award and following procedure agreed in Contract Implementation Methodology (IMD, which is the first deliverable to be submitted under the Task 1)</p>
42.	<p>It is assumed that the dismantling of R1 and R2 zones is completed before the start of R3 zone dismantling.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Could you give more information (pictures for example) about the interfaces remaining between zone R3 and the rest of the installation, after R1&amp;R2 dismantling is entirely completed by INPP?</li> <li>- What will be the initial status of the R3 zone at the beginning of the project (please provide more details)?</li> <li>- Will the reactor space remain "airtight"?</li> </ul>	
43.	Could you please explain where are the pipes inside scheme L structure ? What is the thickness of the internal and external shells and of the elements of rigidity ?	
44.	Could you please precise the size of Central Hall, Storage Pool Hall and redundant SFP ? And the available place in those areas ?	
45.	Could you please give some details on the interface with utilities (location of connecting points for electricity and HVAC) ? And with existing waste management workshop ? (location, nature and size of the waste entering this workshop)	

46.	Could you please send general drawings of the Reactor building at different levels (altitudes) ?	
47.	<p>In order to develop and substantiate conceptual design and of RWISF we required some specific accidental loadings for the buildings and constructions, namely:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- maximum calculation earthquake accelerograms taking into account interactions of construction with base or for free surface; response spectra at the levels of equipment location;</li> <li>- tornado (class);</li> <li>- Impact of a shock wave - pressure in the wave front, duration of the compression phase;</li> <li>- Aircraft crash - load characteristics and response spectra at equipment installation levels</li> </ul>	
48.	Are the physical and mechanical characteristics of the base of the building determined for use in the design substantiations?	
49.	<p>Does the INPP have explications of the control room (the premises of pvc and extension tracts)? We would like to get data on the exact location of walls, floors, configuration of the bottom part - scheme "D", scheme "E", visor compensator, floors, recesses, ledges.</p> <p>Is there any drawings for the walls of the reactor shaft below the level 25.20 until the level 17.70? Is there any drawings of walls and floors under the metal flooring of the Reactor hall on the level 25.20 in the ranks: from row M to Row C? We are also interested in any three-dimensional drawings, any data on the spatial size of the premises and construction drawings (reinforcements), including laser scanning data and photogrammetry (if any).</p>	
50.	<p>Please provide diagrams of electrical systems of the Reactor hall, the control room, 506/1.2 and the nearest adjacent premises in order to understand which sections of 0.4 kV and 6 kV can be connected to newly introduced equipment, which will be used for dismantling? Which systems will remain in operation and which will be decommissioned until the R3 project starts?</p>	
51.	Which systems with CBI (rus. XOB) (low-salt chemical-purified water), in addition to the cooling system of the BBV (rus. ЗБВ), will remain in working condition?	
52.	Will the special chemical water treatment system in the 150th building ready for work? How much "dirty" water can be pumped out of the	

	containers 041 (TZ40B01) and 002 (TZ50B01) per day to the 150th building?	
53.	Could you please clarify the location of liners and thermal insulation ? What is the associated thickness and material ? Is there any Asbestos to be considered in the scope of work ?	
54.	Which compressed air systems of 6 kgs/m2 will remain in operation?	
55.	What is the expected duration for the INPP Consultancy Services? The same as for Engineering Services? Will both projects start at the same time?	Yes, we expect consultancy services to last the same time as engineering services. Both services are expected to start at the same time.
56.	Will the Client and the Consultant agree the terms (e.g. duration, resources, contract fees, etc.) for the Task Instruction based tasks?	Yes, both parties shall come to the agreement regarding task instruction
57.	Will it be allowed to replace an expert of the project team for reasons not directly attributable to the Consultant (e.g. illness, leaving the company, etc.)?	Detailed provisions with regard to expert's management will be provided in the draft contract to be published along with the tender documents.
58.	Does provision of own independent technical assessment mean development of alternative solution or it might be considered as independent review?	It shall be considered as independent review. Technical support group is not intended for development of alternative solutions
59.	It is suggested that INPP defines the expected organizational chart or the desired number of on-site experts (instead of mentioning a minimum of one on-site expert) to address the financial criteria more equally.	INPP expects that there will be at least one on-site expert, but it is up to consultant to decide how many experts actually are going to be placed on-site.



No.	Question	Comment
60.	What are the award criteria and relative weights that INPP intends to use for both tendering procedures ?	<p data-bbox="1485 560 2065 890">A prior information notice and technical specifications were made public to inform potential bidders regarding planned procurement and the scope of the work to be procured. Questions No. 60-86, meanwhile, concern contracts and the evaluation of tenders. We are currently working on these issues, but they have not yet been finalized. We are appreciating the questions you have given us and will take them into consideration.</p> <p data-bbox="1485 900 2065 1059">Considering the number and nature of the questions received, we plan to provide more information on the issues you are interested in and, possibly, to arrange a second round of market consultation</p>
61.	As the R3D Contract will be evaluated based on quality criteria only, will be considered as part of the quality criteria the fact of having an on-site project team? If so, how will it be evaluated?	
62.	For work following Main Contract (Stage 1) under the R3D framework, it is implied that subject to satisfactory performance, later work packages will be single-tender. On what basis is performance to be evaluated? Will the TSG (the Consultant) be part of the evaluation team or is this an INPP decision only?	
63.	<p data-bbox="297 475 506 501">Explanatory Note</p> <p data-bbox="297 507 1458 635"><i>The planned procurement budget of the R3D Framework is 20M€ (excluding VAT); annual indexation will be applied during contract implementation to take account of rising prices. The price of the first main contract is fixed at 7M€ (excluding VAT); prices of the further main contracts will be defined in the course of R3D Framework implementation</i></p> <p data-bbox="297 641 1458 734">Could you please confirm what is meant by “<i>The price of the first main contract is fixed at €7m</i>” ? We assume this means the budget is limited to €7m for the first main contract and not the price which will be fixed through the tender competition process. Please confirm.</p>	
64.	Will the full tender elaborate on the ownership of Intellectual Property Rights of bespoke designs/methodology developed in the course of this contract?	
65.	<p data-bbox="297 815 898 841">Main Contract No. 1 Terms of Reference, Para. 22.</p> <p data-bbox="297 847 1458 940">If it is concluded that there is no viable scenario, and that Tasks 3 and 5 do not take place, how will the contract be valued, given that there is no requirement within the tender to provide any pricing information?</p>	
66.	In this Contract it is expected the "Designer" support in interactions with stakeholders and regulatory bodies in particular for obtaining permits needed to proceed with dismantling. As this is an activity that relies on third parties (stakeholders, regulatory bodies, etc.), will it be defined in detail in the final Terms of Reference what is the expected Designer contribution?	
67.	<p data-bbox="297 1088 1458 1150">Process of coordination with Regulatory bodies might be prolonged. However, it shall be assisted by the Consultant.</p> <p data-bbox="297 1157 1458 1219">It will not be an issue if a fee-based contract scheme is to be applied by the Contractor, but it is recommended to put a limit in time or efforts to such interactions (i.e. max 2 rounds of comments).</p>	
68.	Could you please describe a decision-making procedure related to the transferring from the first main project to the second main project during the implementation of the «Engineering Services with Dismantling of Ignalina NPP Reactor Cores»	
69.	Once a legal entity will have signed the Framework agreement, and after having realized the 1 <sup>st</sup> contract, will it be possible to refuse the following contracts (if it considers the terms & conditions and/or prices not acceptable for example)	

No.	Question	Comment
70.	In case the following contracts (after the 1 <sup>st</sup> contract) are not awarded to the Legal entity who signed the framework agreement (the designer), will this legal entity be entitled to participate to the calls for tenders?	
71.	<p>In Explanatory Note it is stated: <i>“Tenderers may bid for either or both of the above procurements. However, the same economic operator (alone or as a part of a legal entity) cannot participate in both contracts due to conflict of interest”</i></p> <p>Could you clarify how the choice would be made between the 2 tenders in case the same legal entity is successful in both? (is one of the contracts considered to be a priority over the other one? Will it be up to the legal entity to choose if it accepts the first contract before knowing the result of the other procurement process ?)</p>	
72.	Could you please describe a procedure for awarding a victory in one of the tenders to be announced, in case of the participation of the Company in both tenders?	
73.	<p>From the published documentation and clarifications, bidders are allowed to tender for both contract opportunities. However, a successful tenderer can only be appointed to one contract.</p> <p>Question / Clarification: Is it acceptable to for a tenderer to be awarded a contract AND also work with the other successful tenderer as a sub-contractor to the tenderer i.e. as a prime contractor on one contract and a sub-contractor of the other?</p>	
74.	If one Tenderer was deemed to be preferred bidder for both procurements, how is the decision taken to award a single contract. Is this the sole responsibility of INPP or will it be decided by the Tenderer?	
75.	<p>In Explanatory Note it is stated: <i>“documents indicated (*) in the above list are considered as key documents and because of that must be prepared exclusively by the Designer without the use of subcontractors”</i>.</p> <p>Can the legal entity (consortium/ partnership) involve additional members to the consortium/ partnership after completion of Contract 1 to reflect the work scope of the subsequent contracts (and to strengthen consortium/ partnership for some specific issues).</p>	
76.	<p>In Explanatory Note it is stated: <i>“documents indicated (*) in the above list are considered as key documents and because of that must be prepared exclusively by the Designer without the use of subcontractors”</i></p> <p>Could you confirm if it is possible to use resources from a subsidiary of one of the companies of the consortium or does it need to be formal member of the consortium even being a subsidiary under control? (The subsidiary being owned by a member of the consortium with over 50% of its shares)</p>	
77.	The Explanatory note provide the list of documents which need to be developed in frame of the R3D Project. Significant part of that documents has special mark “*” with explanation that – <i>“It is planned that documents indicated (*) in the above list are considered as key documents and because of that must be prepared exclusively by the Designer without the use of subcontractors”</i> .	

No.	Question	Comment
	<p>We agree that these documents are considered as key documents, however we think that indicated limitation for their development significantly prevent or even can exclude possibility to use the high-level local competences in the field of safety assessment (preparation of SAR's) and related areas (e.g. optioneering within Design Options Report, safety issues in the Conceptual design, etc.). Please reconsider your position concerning preparation of the key documents. In our opinion, the use of subcontractors for defined limited amount (e.g up to 30 %) of work in preparation of key documents would assure the effective involvement of the best safety experts.</p>	
78.	<p>For task deliverables indicated by (*) it is stated that Designer should exclusively prepare without the use of subcontractors. It is considered that it may be of use to use local subcontracted services (e.g. translation, specific calculations, assessments, etc.), particularly in the assimilation of plant data, among others. Are such activities excluded too?</p>	
79.	<p>Main Contract No. 1 Terms of Reference, Para 4 &amp; 8. We previously asked the question regarding whether or not a subsidiary company could be used to support a member of the consortium, even if that subsidiary is not wholly owned by the consortium member. If that's acceptable, is it also acceptable that Task Managers and "designated responsible persons" can be employed by this subsidiary?</p>	
80.	<p>Explanatory Note: <i>It is planned that documents indicated (*) in the above list are considered as key documents and because of that must be prepared exclusively by the Designer without the use of subcontractors.</i> Can you clarify please how this restriction on the Designer will be applied in the case of Joint Venture or Consortium partners ?</p>	
81.	<p>It is specified that "[...] documents indicated (*) [...] must be prepared exclusively by the Designer without use of subcontractors." - Could you clarify this requirement? For instance, is the writer allowed to subcontract parts of the study as long as the writer is responsible to INPP for the whole document content?</p>	
82.	<p>We noticed that in the scope of work of the R3D Framework, the activities indicated with an asterisk (*) are considered as key documents and must be prepared exclusively by the Designer without the use of subcontractors. Shall we understand that we may not use the support of subcontractors (even partially) for the preparation of those documents</p>	
83.	<p>What are the applicable Terms and Conditions for this project? Is it possible to make any exceptions to these T&amp;Cs?</p>	
84.	<p>Will it be requested specific profiles for the Consultant project team members in the Terms of Reference? If so, how will it be evaluated?</p>	
85.	<p>Does the Consultant have the option to refuse the execution of any of the Task Instruction based tasks in case of not reaching an agreement with the Client in the terms?</p>	
86.	<p>Will it be considered as part of the quality criteria the tenderer relevant experience regarding graphite management projects?</p>	